

EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Pat nt and Trademark Office

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FILING DATE APPLICATION NO.

09/275,883

03/25/99

RENNER

1700.0020001

HM22/0428

STERNE KESSLER GOLDSTEIN & FOX 1100 NEW YORK AVE NW SUITE 600 WASHINGTON DC 20005-3934

EXAMINER CLARK, D PAPER NUMBER **ART UNIT**

1633

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/275,883

Renner et al.

Examiner

Deborah Clark

Group Art Unit 1633



Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>
☐ This action is FINAL .	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extension 37 CFR 1.136(a).	to respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
Claim(s)	
X Claims <u>1-74</u>	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	Review, PTO-948.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	ed to by the Examiner.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗆 approved 🗆 disapproved.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority u	
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of ☐ received.	the priority documents have been
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num	herl
received in this national stage application from the I	
*Certified copies not received:	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority	
Attachment(s)	
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No	(s)
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	1
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	,
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON TH	HE FOLLOWING PAGES

Application/Control Number: 09/275,883 Page 2

Art Unit: 1633

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-34, 38-70, and 74, drawn to a DNA molecule, host cells, RNA, alphaviral particles, methods of making a cell, a protein, or a particle, methods of regulating expression of a protein by adjusting temperature, and pharmaceutical composition comprising said DNA, classified in class 536, subclass 23.1, for instance.
 - II. Claims 35-37 and 71-73, drawn to transgenic animals, classified in class 800, subclass 13, for instance.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are distinct because the inventions are directed to separate and distinct products. The invention of group I, the DNA can be used in materially different processes other than making the animal of invention II. For instance, the DNA can be used to produce proteins or in pharmaceutical compositions as claimed.

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above, have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, and require separate searches, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 1633

4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: products and methods where the recited second ORF encodes a protein such as that recited in claims 5 or 6, where the recited second ORF is an antisense RNA or DNA targeted to a specific nucleic acid, where the recited second ORF is a ribozyme targeted to a specific nucleic acid sequence, or where the recited second ORF is a tRNA or rRNA. It should be noted that applicants are required to elect a specific protein encoded by the second ORF, a specific ribozyme, a specific antisense, or a tRNA or rRNA. Each species would require a separate search in each product and in each method.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-4, 7-17, 19-21, 23-41, 44-53, 55-57, and 59-74 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Deborah Clark whose telephone number is (703) 305-4051. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays-Fridays from 7:10 a.m. EST to 3:40 p.m. EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John LeGuyader, can be reached on (703) 308-0447. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4242.

Art Unit: 1633

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

DEBORAHJ. CLARK
BATENT EXAMINER